

CoC 2017 Scoring Tool

Project Name and Project Type:		
Reviewer Name:	Maximum Points	Points Awarded
Financial Assessment and Expenditure of Funds (Total Points: 18/13%)		
1. Returned Funds	5	
5: No returned funds in most recently completed grant term / 1: Returned funds in most recent grant term and satisfactory explanation and plan provided / 0 or Ineligible for CoC funds: Returned funds in most recent grant term and unsatisfactory explanation and plan provided / N/A for project without a full grant term		
2. Drawdown frequency	3	
3: At least Quarterly with Supporting Documentation / 0: Less than Quarterly with Supporting Documentation / Ineligible for CoC funds: No Documentation provided / N/A for project without a full grant term		
3. 90 days of working capital	2	
2: Yes / 0: No		
4. Housing Emphasis: Rate of funds used for eligible housing activities.	8	
8: \geq 80% / 5: 79% to 60% / 2: < 60%		
Compliance Requirements (Total Points: 30/21%)		
1. APR Submission	5	
5: Most recent APR submitted by deadline / 2: Most recent APR not submitted by deadline and satisfactory explanation included / 0: Most recent APR not submitted by deadline and explanation not included or not satisfactory / N/A for project without a full grant term		
2. HUD Monitoring	5	
5: Project monitored with no findings or project not monitored / 3: Monitoring findings with corrective actions implemented or in progress/ 0: Monitoring findings with no corrective action plan		
3. Fair Housing	5	
5: Yes and reflected in policies and procedures/ 2: Yes and not reflected in policies and procedures/ 0: No		
4. Age/Gender Equal Access Law	5	
5: Yes and reflected in policies and procedures / 2: Yes and not reflected in policies and procedures/ 0: No		

CoC 2017 Scoring Tool

5. Consumer Participation	5	
5: Yes / 0: No		
6. Equal Access Sexual Orientation/Gender Identity/Familial Status	5	
5: Yes and reflected in policies and procedures / 2: Yes and not reflected in policies and procedures/ 0: No		
HMIS Participation and Data Quality (Total Points: 15/10%)		
1. Project has policies/procedures to ensure data quality	5	
5: Yes and policies attached / 0: Yes but policy not attached or No		
2. Project has less than 5% missing/refused/don't know data for all identified data elements.	10	
10: Yes / 0: No / N/A for project without a full grant term		
Project Performance (Total Points: 40/28%) (All N/A for projects that have not completed a full grant term)		
1. Housing Stability: % persons achieving housing stability	20	
For PSH projects Only: 20: > 80% / 10: 79%-70% / 5: 69%-65% / 0: <65%		
For RRH projects Only: 20: > 80% / 10: 79%-70% / 5: 69%-65% / 0: <65%		
For TH projects Only: 13: > 80% / 10: 79%-70% / 5: 69%-65% / 0: <65%		
For TH projects Only, Length of Stay: 7: < 180 days / 4: 181-365 days / 3: 366-545 days/ 1: 546 days - 726 days./ 0: >726 days		
<i>(For TH projects, add up points from both measures to get total)</i>		
2. Increased Income	12	
For TH & RRH projects Only: % of adults that increased cash income from any source from entry to exit: 12: >23% / 6: 22% - 15% / 0: < 15%		
For PSH projects Only: % of participants who increased or maintained cash income from entry to exit: 12: 100%-85% / 6: 84% - 70% / 0: < 70%		
4. Bed Utilization Rate (This measure is pulled from CMIS by Housing Alliance DE. This measure is N/A for RRH projects)	8	
8:100% - 85% / 5: 84% - 65% / 0: <65%		
Policy Priority Areas (Total Possible Points - 41/28%)		
1. Housing First	10	

CoC 2017 Scoring Tool

10: Yes, and detailed description of program policies, procedures, and approach are provided that clearly align with housing first and it is reflected in attached policies and procedures / 5: Yes, but description lacks specific policies, procedures, and approach, and/or policies and procedures do not clearly reflect housing first / 0: No		
2. Low Barrier Access to Housing and Services	8	
8: Yes, and description clearly reflects low barriers to entry and policies and procedures clearly reflect this / 4: Yes, but description and/or policies and procedures do not clearly reflect low barriers to entry / 0: No		
3. Severity of Need: Rate of Adults served with disabling condition	8	
8: 100%-80% / 5: 79% - 60% / 3: 59%- 40% / 0: <40% / N/A for projects without a full grant term		
4. Participation in CoC Planning	5	
5: Yes agency has voting member AND participated in at least 4 CoC membership meeting in 2016 or 2017 / 2: No Agency does not have voting membership, but attend at least 2 membership meetings in 2016 or 2017/ 0: No agency does not have voting membership and did not participate in any CoC membership meetings in 2016 or 2017		
5. Chronic Homeless Beds (PSH projects only, all other projects are N/A)	10	
10: 100% - 80% of beds in project are dedicated or prioritized for CH / 5: 79% - 60% of beds in project are dedicated or prioritized for CH/ 0: < 60% of beds in project are dedicated or prioritized		
6. Cost Effectiveness (Total project budget / # of Exits to, or retention in (for PSH), permanent housing)	N/A	
The CoC Scoring Committee will consider the cost per permanent housing achieved. This item is not scored.		
Scoring Section		
Total possible points	144	
Total possible points for this project, taking into account any "Not Applicable" points		
Total points awarded for this project		
Project Score (points awarded/possible points for this project)		